11/08/99

MMc bar 10/22/99 Introduced By:

Brian Derdowski

Proposed No.:

1999-0189

1

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

ordinance no. 13687

AN ORDINANCE clarifying the process for consideration of shorelines redesignations; amending Ordinance 3688, Section 213, and K.C.C. 25.08.130, adding new sections to K.C.C. chapter 25.32 and adding a new section to K.C.C. chapter 27.36.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Ordinance 3688, Section 214, and K.C.C. 25.08.150 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Department. "Department" means the ((D))department of ((Planning and Community D))development and environmental services.

<u>SECTION 2.</u> Ordinance 3688, section 813, as amended, and K.C.C. 25.32.130 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Shoreline environment redesignation. A. Shoreline environments designated by the master program may be redesignated by the county council upon finding that such a redesignation will be consistent with ((:

- 1. The policy of Section 2 of the Shoreline Management Act of 1971:
- The goals, objectives and policies of the master program;

3. The designation criteria of	the shoreline environment designation requested))	the
standards in section 7 of this ordinance.	A shorelines redesignation may be initiated by an	
applicant or by motion of the council.		

B. ((Application for redesignation)) A redesignation initiated by an applicant shall be made on forms and processed in a manner prescribed in ((chapter 20.24 K.C.C. and chapter 20.20 K.C.C.)) section 3 of this ordinance. A redesignation initiated by the council shall follow the process in section 4 of this ordinance.

C. The fee which shall accompany an application for a shoreline redesignation shall be as adopted by ordinance.

D. ((Applications for redesignation shall not be accepted by the department if a request for redesignation involving the same designation for substantially the same property has been denied within the last year.

E.))The ((report and recommendation of the)) departmental report and recommendation regarding an application or a site-specific redesignation initiated by council motion shall be forwarded to the hearing examiner for consideration together with all relevant testimony at a public hearing to be held consistent with the procedures for a zone reclassification as provided in K.C.C. chapter 20.24 ((K.C.C)).

NEW SECTION. SECTION 3. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 25.32 a new section to read as follows:

Redesignation applications. A. A redesignation initiated by an applicant, as described in section 2B of this ordinance, must follow the procedures in K.C.C. chapters 20.20 and 20.24 for shorelines redesignations and must include the following information in addition to the requirements in K.C.C. chapter 20.20:

43	1. Applicant information, including signature, telephone number and address;
44	2. The applicant's interest in the property, such as owner, buyer or consultant;
45	3. Property owner concurrence, including signature, telephone number and address
46	4. A property description, including parcel number, property street address and
47	nearest cross street;
48	5. A county assessor's map outlining the subject property;
49	6. Related or previous permit activity;
50	7. A description of the proposed shorelines redesignation;
51	8. A mitigation plan providing for significant enhancement of the first one hundred
52	feet adjacent to the shoreline and improved habitat for species declared as endangered or
53	threatened under the Endangered Species Act, to the extent that the impacts of development
54	can be determined at the time of the proposed shoreline redesignation.
55	9. A discussion of how the proposed shorelines redesignation meets the criteria in
56	section 7 of this ordinance.
57	B. The examiner shall make a recommendation to the council based on the criteria for
58	review in section 7 of this ordinance.
59	NEW SECTION. SECTION 4. There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 25.32 a new
60	section to read as follows:
61	Redesignations initiated by motion. A. A motion initiating a shorelines
62	redesignation, as described in section 2B of this ordinance must be accompanied by the
63	following information:

70 71

72

73

74

76

75

77 78

79

81

80

82 83

84

85 86

1. A description of the shoreline reach and a property description, including parcel numbers, property street addresses and nearest cross streets, for all properties that the shoreline runs through or is adjacent to;

- 2. A county assessor's map outlining the subject property or properties; and
- 3. A description of the proposed shorelines redesignation.

B. If the motion proposes site-specific redesignation, as "site" is defined in K.C.C. Title 21A, the redesignation shall be referred to the hearing examiner for consideration following the procedures of Section 3 for consideration of redesignation application. Any other redesignation proposal initiated by motion shall be referred to the executive for consideration as to whether the redesignation is appropriate for review as part of the annual or four-year Comprehensive Plan update, or should proceed independent of the annual or four year update process, such as through a subarea planning process.

C. A motion initiating a site-specific redesignation must identify the resources and the work program required to provide the same level of review accorded to an applicantgenerated shorelines redesignation. Before adoption of the motion, the executive shall have the opportunity to provide an analysis of the motion's fiscal impact. If the executive determines that additional funds are necessary to complete the work program, the executive may transmit an ordinance requesting the appropriation of supplemental funds. The council may consider the supplemental appropriation ordinance concurrently with the proposed motion referring the shorelines redesignation proposal to the examiner.

D.A site-specific redesignation initiated by motion shall follow the procedures in K.C.C. chapters 20.20 and 20.24 for shorelines redesignations with regard to the information to be provided and the notice and hearing processes, and shall meet the submittal

 requirements of section 3 of this ordinance. The examiner shall make a recommendation to the council based on the criteria for review in section 7 of this ordinance.

<u>NEW SECTION. SECTION 5.</u> There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 25.32 a new section to read as follows:

Frequency of consideration of shorelines redesignations. A. A shorelines redesignation may not be initiated unless at least three years have elapsed since the council's prior consideration of the current designation for the property. The executive or the council may waive this time limit if the proponent establishes that there exists either an obvious technical error or a change in circumstances justifying the need for earlier consideration of the shorelines redesignation.

<u>NEW SECTION 6.</u> There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 25.32 a new section to read as follows:

Joining of application for or motion to consider shorelines redesignation and site-specific land use map amendment or zone reclassification. A site-specific shorelines redesignation may be accompanied by a related proposal for a site-specific land use map amendment or zone reclassification, or both, in which case county review of the two applications must be consolidated to the extent practical, consistent with this ordinance and K.C.C. chapter 20.20. The council's consideration of a subarea or comprehensive shorelines redesignation is a legislative decision that must be determined before and separate from the council's final consideration of a zone reclassification or site-specific shorelines redesignation, which is a quasi-judicial decision.

<u>NEW SECTION. SECTION 7.</u> There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 25.32 a new section to read as follows:

Criteria for hearing examiner review. A shorelines redesignation referred to the hearing examiner for a public hearing shall be reviewed based upon the requirements of Comprehensive Plan policies NE-308 and I-202, state and county shorelines management goals and objectives and the following additional standards:

A. The proposed change implements and supports the goals of the comprehensive plan, the goals, policies and objectives of the state Shorelines Management Act and the county's shorelines master program and the designation criteria of the shoreline environment designation requested;

B. The impacts of development allowed by the proposed change will not permanently impair any habitat critical to endangered or threatened species.

C. The impacts of development allowed by the proposed change are adequately addressed in a mitigation plan providing significant enhancement of the first one hundred feet adjacent to the stream and improved habitat for species declared as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act, to the extent those impacts may be determinable at the time of the shorelines redesignation. A full mitigation plan shall accompany each application, as provided in sections 3 and 4 of this ordinance; and

D.If greater intensity of development would be allowed as a result of the shorelines redesignation, the proposal shall utilize clustering or a multi-story design to pursue minimum densities while minimizing lot coverage adjacent to the shorelines setback area.

<u>NEW SECTION. SECTION 8.</u> There is hereby added to K.C.C. chapter 27.36 a new section to read as follows:

Site-specific shorelines redesignation fee. A site-specific shorelines redesignation, whether generated by an applicant or initiated by motion, is subject to application and

134

review fees as provided in this title. The property owner shall be responsible for payment of the fees unless the council approves an appropriation ordinance to fund the review. If the

135	property owner does not agree to payment of the fees, the redesignation shall not be
136	processed.
137	SECTION 9. Severability. If any provision of this ordinance or its application to
138	any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the ordinance or the application
139	of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.
140	INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 29th day of March, 1999.
141	PASSED by a vote of 12 to 0 this 13 th day of December, 1999.
142 143	KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
144 145	Duie Milly Chair
146	ATTEST:
147	Chrehas
148	Clerk of the Council
149	APPROVED this 22 day of Jecenter, 1999
150 151	King County Executive
152	Attachments: None

11/18/1999

Introduced By:

Louise Miller Larry Phillips

Clerk 11/18/1999

Proposed No.:

1999-0661

ordinance no. 13688

AN ORDINANCE determining the monetary requirements for the disposal of sewage for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2001 and ending December 31, 2001 and setting the sewer rate for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2001 and ending December 31, 2001.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Findings. A. On November 29, 1999, the Metropolitan King County Council approved the Regional Wastewater Services Plan (RWSP), a long-range regional sewage treatment plan that will increase capacity of the current system and protect the environment. The total cost of the plan is approximately \$1.2 billion.

- B. The RWSP includes the following key elements, which were not fully anticipated at the time the year 2000 monthly sewer rate was developed:

 (1) a treatment plant that could handle up to thirty-six million gallons of sewage per day (MGD) to be completed by 2010 in north King or south Snohomish County. This is eighteen MGD larger than the plant in the executive's original proposal assumed in the 2000 rate.
- (2) a north lake interceptor which will convey sewage to the north plant and also provide ten million gallons of storage capacity during high flows to be constructed by 2006.

1

5 6

4

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19